Revisiting multiwavelength data on the supersoft X-ray source CAL 83

P. E. Stecchini^(D),^{1,2}* M. Perez Diaz^(D),¹ F. D'Amico² and F. Jablonski²

¹Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão 1226, São Paulo – SP 05508-090, Brazil
²Divisão de Astrofísica, Coordenação de Engenharia, Tecnologia e Ciências Espaciais, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Av. dos Astronautas 1758, S.J. Campos – SP 12227-010, Brazil

Accepted 2023 April 12. Received 2023 March 20; in original form 2022 October 26

ABSTRACT

In this study, we revisit public data on the supersoft X-ray source CAL 83 in the Large Magellanic Cloud. A significant part of our analysis is focused on *XMM–Newton* X-ray observations, in which updated data reduction procedures and quality assessment were applied. We report on the capability of publicly available hot atmosphere models in describing the source's soft X-ray spectrum. By gathering historical flux measurements in multiple wavelengths and comparing them with the fluxes derived from the X-ray analysis, we find that an ~360 kK phenomenological blackbody model describes the spectral energy distribution of CAL 83 fairly well. We also retrieve data from the *XMM–Newton* ultraviolet (UV)/optical camera, which is co-aligned with the X-ray instruments and provides strictly simultaneous measurements. These observations demonstrate that the X-ray emission is definitely anti-correlated with emission at longer wavelengths on a time-scale of days to weeks. A closer look at simultaneous X-ray and UV count rates in single light curves reveals that the anti-correlated behaviour is actually present on time-scales as short as minutes, suggesting that the origin of variable emission in the system is not unique.

Key words: stars: individual: CAL 83 – white dwarfs – X-rays: binaries.

1 INTRODUCTION

Objects today known as supersoft X-ray sources (SSSs) were first observed by the Einstein Observatory during a Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) soft X-ray survey conducted by Long, Helfand & Grabelsky (1981). CAL 83 and CAL 87, with their unusual soft emission amongst over 90 sources catalogued by the Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory team (hence the source names), inaugurated the class of SSSs, becoming their prototypes. A subsequent survey in the LMC with ROSAT (Roentgen Satellite) confirmed their very soft X-ray emission and added RX J0527.8-6954 (Greiner, Hasinger & Kahabka 1991; Trümper et al. 1991) to the list; to date, hundreds of objects have been observed to exhibit similar behaviour and are candidates or confirmed members of the class. The list now contains sources located not only in the LMC but also in the Milky Way, Small Magellanic Cloud, M31, M33, and other galaxies (e.g. Kahabka & Trumper 1996; Steiner & Diaz 1998; Greiner 2000; Di Stefano & Kong 2003; Pietsch et al. 2003; Henze et al. 2010; Orio et al. 2010; Sturm et al. 2012; Galiullin & Gilfanov 2021).

Common aspects present in most supersoft sources include – but are not limited to – very soft X-ray spectra (with weak or no emission beyond ~1 keV), effective blackbody temperatures of no more than ~100 eV, and bolometric luminosities of ~ 10^{36-38} erg s⁻¹ (e.g. Kahabka & van den Heuvel 1997). The precise origin of these features and the nature of these objects were a matter of debate in the following years after the prototypes' identification (e.g. Cowley et al. 1990; Greiner et al. 1991), until van den Heuvel et al. (1992) pointed

out that the observed emission was consistent with the scenario of a steady hydrogen burning massive $(\gtrsim 1 M_{\odot})$ white dwarf (WD) that accretes matter with rate of the order of $10^{-7} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ from its likely even more massive ($\sim 1-3 M_{\odot}$) companion. Modelling the formation and evolution of WDs in this scenario has successfully reproduced typical observational parameters (e.g. Rappaport, Di Stefano & Smith 1994; Ivanova & Taam 2004). Similar X-ray spectral shapes have been observed in one of the evolutionary stages of novae outbursts (during the so-called supersoft phase), which corroborates the association of the SSS phenomenology with WDs in binary systems (e.g. Hachisu & Kato 2006; Diaz et al. 2010; Orio et al. 2018). In SSSs, however, the high mass transfer rates and steady burning of hydrogen prevent degenerate thermonuclear runaways from occurring (e.g. Wolf et al. 2013), making it possible for these objects to achieve masses close to the Chandrasekhar limit (\approx 1.4 M_{\odot}) and thus become important candidates to Type Ia supernova progenitors (e.g. Hachisu & Kato 2003; Nomoto et al. 2007; Podsiadlowski 2010).

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1144

The optical flux observed in SSSs is mostly credited to an accretion disc around the WD. The inferred luminosities for some systems, however, seem to exceed the expected for regular cataclysmic variable discs and are attributed to X-ray photons that originate in the hot WD's atmosphere and are reprocessed in the accretion disc – rather than produced by viscous accretion processes (Popham & di Stefano 1996). It has been reported for a couple of fairly well-monitored supersoft sources [e.g. RX J0513.9–6951 (e.g Pakull et al. 1993; McGowan et al. 2005) and CAL 83 (e.g. Greiner & Di Stefano 2002; Rajoelimanana et al. 2013)] that the rise of optical – and also ultraviolet (UV) – fluxes occurs almost concomitantly with the decline of X-ray emission. Interpretations for the mechanisms

^{*} E-mail: paulo.stecchini@usp.br

responsible for this anti-correlation vary in the literature, but most are based on the expansion/contraction model proposed by Southwell et al. (1996). Southwell et al. argue that X-ray faint and optical bright states begin after the mass accretion rate of the system increases, causing the WD's photospheric radius to expand, which, in turn, also lowers the effective temperature of the burning shell and shifts the emission peak from X-rays to longer wavelengths (i.e. extreme UV or UV). Inversely, a decrease in the accretion rate contracts the photosphere and enhances the X-ray emission.

CAL 83, subject of this article, is one of the most studied SSS. The very massive nature of the WD (possibly up to $1.3 \, M_{\odot})$ – that is currently a consensus - was first proposed by Alcock et al. (1997) and Kahabka (1998) after modelling the short time-scale on which the source went from an X-ray bright to an off state, and further corroborated by a high-resolution X-ray spectroscopic analysis performed by Lanz et al. (2005). The nature of the donor star in CAL 83, none the less, is still a debated aspect of the system. Early optical spectroscopy and photometry revealed a periodic modulation of about 1 d (Crampton et al. 1987; Smale et al. 1988), value later confirmed, refined (\sim 1.0475 d), and well established as the orbital period of the binary system (Schmidtke et al. 2004; Rajoelimanana et al. 2013). The absence of eclipses and the semi-amplitude of the radial velocity ($\sim 25-40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) curves in the He II (4686 Å) emission line throughout an entire orbital phase (e.g. Crampton et al. 1987; Schmidtke et al. 2004) suggest that the system has a low orbital inclination ($<30^\circ$). With these two constraints, and acknowledging that the WD is likely massive, the mass of the secondary could be as low as $\sim 0.5 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (e.g. Odendaal et al. 2014), a value significantly smaller than that required by van den Heuvel et al. (1992) model to allow steady thermonuclear burning under unstable mass transfer

From X-ray temporal studies, two modulations have been identified: a 38.4 min variability in Chandra data (Schmidtke & Cowley 2006) and, from XMM-Newton and NICER (Neutron star Interior *Composition Explorer*) observations, a (sometimes) prominent – but not very stable – signal of ~ 67 s (Odendaal et al. 2014; Orio et al. 2022). The latter has been associated with the WD's spin period. The X-ray emission of CAL 83 is very soft - even amongst SSSs - with only few counts above ~ 0.5 keV. Both blackbody or WD atmosphere models have been shown to satisfactorily describe the source's Xray continuum (i.e. low-resolution spectra), with best-fitting effective temperatures ranging roughly from 20 to 50 eV (e.g Greiner et al. 1991; Parmar et al. 1998; Rajoelimanana et al. 2013). A so-called X-ray off state of CAL 83 was first noticed by Kahabka (1997) from a ROSAT observation; since then, the source has been reported to be undetectable in X-rays on seven other occasions (see table 2 of Rajoelimanana et al. 2013). The MACHO (Massive Compact Halo Objects) project's long-term optical monitoring of CAL 83 allowed Greiner & Di Stefano (2002) and Rajoelimanana et al. (2013) to conclude that these X-ray off periods all happened while the source was in its optical bright state and vice versa (bright in X-rays while faint in optical).

In this study, we revisit most available *XMM–Newton* (Jansen et al. 2001) observations of CAL 83 to, through different approaches, explore its X-ray spectrum, its temporal variability, and possible correlations with emission at other wavelengths. In Section 2, we detail the criteria, reduction tasks, and procedures adopted to process the X-ray data; measurements in other wavelengths used in our analysis will be explained as they are mentioned. In Section 3, we describe the analysis tools, models, and approaches used, as well as present and briefly comment on the results, whose relevances for CAL 83 are more acutely discussed

in Section 4. The main outcome of our study is presented in Section 5.

2 DATA REDUCTION

The region of CAL 83 was observed by the instruments onboard the *XMM*–*Newton* satellite on 22 occasions from 2007 to 2009. We retrieved data from these observations for the three X-ray cameras, EPIC-pn (Strüder et al. 2001) and EPIC-MOS 1 and 2 (Turner et al. 2001). However, as we are dealing with a very soft X-ray source and thus spectra with the lowest energy possible are desired, we chose to use only data from the pn detector due to its higher sensitivity at lower energies compared to that of the MOS detectors. Also, following the recommendations of the latest calibration status document,¹ pn data analysis was restricted to energies ≥ 0.2 keV. Data reduction procedures to be described were all conducted with the *XMM*–*Newton* Science Analysis System (SAS, v. 19.1.0).

After downloading the Observation Data Files (ODFs) from the *XMM*–*Newton* Science Archive,² we ran the preparatory tasks cif-build and odfingest. The former produces the Calibrated Index File (CIF), which associates the Current Calibration File³ to each particular observation; the latter extends the ODF summary, now to include these instrumental and calibration information. To reprocess the ODFs and generate calibrated (yet not filtered) event files, the task epproc was used. Parameter runepreject = yes was set in order to mitigate detector noise at low energies (e.g. Dennerl et al. 2004). Possible flaring particle background was filtered out from the event files by applying the standard selection criteria.⁴ The output are cleaned event files ready for science products (spectra, light curve) extraction.

The source photons from each observation were extracted from circular regions of 32 arcsec radius centred on CAL 83 centroid position. This radius encompasses about 85–90 per cent of the telescope's point spread function encircled energy.⁵ The background regions were chosen with the support of the SAS task ebkgreg.⁶ This task indicates the optimal background extraction region based solely on the detector's geometry; hence, in some occasions, the suggested regions had to be slightly shrunk to avoid the inclusion of photons either from the source itself or from other objects in the field of view. The resulting background regions were all circular, adjacent to the source and with radii varying from 40 to 60 arcsec. For four observations (ObsIDs 0500860701, 0500860801, 0506530301, and 0506530401), there were no statistically significant counts within the source region. Basic information on the 22 observations is listed in Table 1.

Before proceeding to the spectra extraction, we investigated if the observations were affected by pile-up. We first followed the procedure described in Jethwa et al. (2015) to check whether the count rate of any observation exceeded the limits in which the pileup effects may become worrisome. Even for the observation with the highest mean rate (ObsID 0506531501; 4.88 cps in source region), we calculated spectral distortion and flux loss of less than ~0.2 and ~0.8 per cent, respectively. Additionally – and as advised in the

¹https://xmmweb.esac.esa.int/docs/documents/CAL-TN-0018.pdf.

²http://nxsa.esac.esa.int/.

³https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/current-calibration-files.

⁴https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas-thread-epic-filterbackg round.

⁵https://xmm-tools.cosmos.esa.int/external/xmm_user_support/documentati on/uhb/onaxisxraypsf.html.

⁶https://xmm-tools.cosmos.esa.int/external/sas/current/doc/ebkgreg/.

 Table 1. Summary of the observations.

Observation	Date		Exposure ^a	Count rate ^b
(ObsID)	$(MJD)^{c}$	(dd/mm/yyyy)	(s)	(cps)
0500860201	54233.92	13/05/2007	11 471	3.80
0500860301	54287.99	06/07/2007	10470	3.56
0500860401	54333.64	21/08/2007	7471	2.74
0500860501	54379.05	06/10/2007	12 506	2.63
0500860601	54428.93	24/11/2007	20 0 30	3.39
0500860701	54481.56	16/01/2008	10471	_
0500860801	54535.43	10/03/2008	6470	-
0506530201	54545.03	20/03/2008	7271	0.54
0506530301	54559.78	03/04/2008	14 472	_
0506530401	54567.26	11/04/2008	5471	_
0506530501	54572.59	16/04/2008	4594	1.11
0506530601	54573.57	17/04/2008	10765	0.90
0506530801	54575.28	19/04/2008	5471	0.33
0506530901	54576.94	20/04/2008	11 170	0.30
0500860901	54577.09	21/04/2008	12 269	0.18
0506531001	54577.79	21/04/2008	8668	0.75
0506531201	54579.48	23/04/2008	6971	0.20
0506531301	54581.35	25/04/2008	9171	0.38
0506531401	54585.03	29/04/2008	13 669	0.21
0506531501	54690.62	12/08/2008	6471	4.82
0506531601	54726.47	17/09/2008	6371	0.12
0506531701	54981.34	30/05/2009	45 664	4.77

^aThe sum of good time intervals (from keyword ONTIME).

^bTotal counts in source region divided by the exposure time.

^cModified Julian Date.

SAS threads - we also ran, for all observations, the pile-up diagnosis tool epatplot,⁷ which provides the observed-to-model ratios for single and double pattern events. The energy range of evaluation was extended from 0.5 (default) down to 0.2 keV (minimum energy intended for analysis) and parameter withbackgroundset = Y was passed, as low energy background may also cause deviations in the pattern fractions. Overall outcome was that both single and double events observed are in good agreement with the expected (i.e. pattern fractions follow the model curves), doubles being slightly deficient in some cases. An excess of double over single events would be indicative of a piled-up observation, while the opposite (singles over doubles) could be related to effects of X-ray/optical loading.⁸ It is worth noting, though, that for very soft sources like CAL 83, the models used to estimate the number of singles and doubles might not be accurate and the interpretation of epatplot output may not be that straightforward.9 To leave no doubt about the presence of either pile-up or X-ray loading, we reprocessed (epproc) the raw data with the respective correction tasks pileuptempfile = yesand runepxrlcorr = yes included. A comparison of the final spectra extracted with and without these two tasks (or any of them) reveals that deviations are within statistical uncertainties; we checked that these do not affect the results to be presented in the following sections.

Finally, we extracted the source and background spectra from the region files created; for maximizing energy calibration and resolution, we chose to use only single events by setting PATTERN == 0. Standard tasks rmfgen and arfgen were run to compute the redistribution matrix file (RMF) and the ancillary response file



Figure 1. XMM–Newton EPIC-pn spectra of CAL 83 for the 18 occasions in which the source was detectable. The corresponding observation dates are presented in the format dd/mm/yyyy. Down arrows represent upper limits.

(ARF), respectively. Each spectrum was binned to have at least 10 counts per bin prior to analysis. The final spectra, for the 18 observations with enough counts within the source region, are shown in Fig. 1. Colours follow a sequence according to the observation dates, which are also displayed.

Even though we do not focus on timing analysis, to allow us to discuss on CAL 83 variability, we also extracted light curves (with the same source and background regions aforementioned) for different energy bands. For that, we used the SAS task epiclccorr,¹⁰ which performs a series of corrections to minimize effects that may impact the detection efficiency before producing a background-subtracted light curve. Time bins of 5 s were used.

Detailed description of aims and usage of all procedures and tasks mentioned (and not explicitly referenced) can be found in the SAS threads¹¹ or in the *XMM–Newton* documentation.¹²

3 ANALYSES AND RESULTS

The spectral analysis was carried out with XSPEC (v. 12.12.0; Arnaud 1996). Energies below 0.2 and above 1.0 keV were ignored for the model fitting. For all fits, two photoelectric absorption components were included: a Galactic column density (model tbabs from XSPEC) fixed at 6.5×10^{20} cm⁻² (as derived by Gänsicke et al. 1998) with solar abundances and, to account for any intrinsic absorption of the LMC or the source, an additional component (model vphabs) with column density as a free parameter and abundance values (relative to solar) taken from table 7 of Rolleston, Trundle & Dufton (2002). Solar abundance was set according to Wilms, Allen & McCray (2000) and X-ray atomic cross-sections according to Verner et al. (1996).

In addition to applying a phenomenological blackbody model to the 18 spectra (see Fig. 1), we also tested a few publicly available¹³ non-local thermal equilibrium (NLTE) stellar atmosphere models (Werner & Dreizler 1999; Rauch 2003; Rauch & Deetjen 2003; Rauch et al. 2010b). Some of them are available as tables that are suitable for analysis within the XSPEC environment; in general, these

 ⁷https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas-thread-epatplot.
 ⁸https://xmmweb.esac.esa.int/docs/documents/CAL-TN-0050-1-1.pdf.
 ⁹XMM–Newton HelpDesk (private communication; https://xmmweb.esac.esa.int/xmmhelp/).

¹⁰https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xmm/sas/help/epiclccorr/.

¹¹https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas-threads.

¹²https://xmm-tools.cosmos.esa.int/external/sas/current/doc/.

¹³http://astro.uni-tuebingen.de/~rauch/TMAF/TMAF.html.



Figure 2. Best-fitting parameter values (and their associated 90 per cent error) provided by the blackbody (bbody) model (horizontal axis of all panels) and by the hydrogen atmosphere (pure H) model (vertical axis of all panels). Each point in any panel is an observation, colour coded as in Fig. 1. *Upper left*: effective temperature. *Upper right*: equivalent hydrogen column with LMC abundances. *Bottom left*: unabsorbed flux from 0.2 to 1 keV. *Bottom right*: fit quality (reduced chi-square).

models – or pre-calculated grids – differ from each other by the abundance of elements, by their temperature, and by the effective gravity (expressed as $\log g$, in cgs units). Once the specific abundance and gravity are chosen, the fitting to the data is parametrized by the effective temperature.

All 18 spectra but one (ObsID 0506531701) could be satisfactorily fit (reduced chi-square $\chi^2_{red} \equiv \chi^2 / \nu \le 2$, where χ^2 is the chi-square statistics and v is the number of degrees of freedom) by the blackbody model (bbody). Amongst the NLTE stellar atmosphere models, only the one with a pure hydrogen atmosphere (henceforth 'pure H') and $\log g = 7$ achieved a similar fit quality. Fig. 2 shows, in a compact way, the best-fitting parameters from these two models, for the 17 spectra in which χ^2_{red} was below 2. Each panel of the figure displays the output values (bbody on horizontal and pure H on vertical axes) for one specific parameter. Although the results from both models follow a consistent trend with respect to each other, it is important to notice that, except for the last panel, the axes scales are not the same. For instance, the effective temperatures are very different, with median values of $30 \pm 2 \text{ eV}$ (~320–370 kK) for the bbody and $8 \pm 1 \text{ eV}$ (~80–105 kK) for the pure H model. Further, the latter also requires larger values for the LMC column density $(N_{\rm H})$ and provides, consequently, larger unabsorbed fluxes. At last, the fit quality (bottom-right panel), when measured by the distance to the 1:1 relationship, is slightly better overall for the pure H model. Both models fitted to data of ObsID 0506531501 (the one with the highest count rate) and the respective residuals are shown in Fig. 3, with best-fitting temperatures indicated.

Also plotted in Fig. 3 are the fits to data by two other NLTE models: 'H-Ca', which includes elements from H to Ca with abundance ratios as the Galactic halo, and 'H-Ni', with elements



Figure 3. Different models fitted to data of ObsID 0506531501 (upper panel) and the corresponding residuals (bottom panel). Best-fitting temperatures for each model are shown.



Figure 4. Photon flux as a function of energy of theoretical models calculated for $T = 100\,000$ K, $\log g = 7$ (when applicable), and no interstellar absorption. They have been adjusted to match the bbody flux at 0.01 keV. Energy range of analysis (0.2–1.0 keV) is highlighted in grey.

up to Ni and a particular metal-enhanced abundance¹⁴ based on nova V4743 Sgr (Rauch, Ringat & Werner 2010a; Rauch et al. 2010b). Even though aware that the H-Ca model was calculated with only approximate formulae to account for Stark broadening and is thus not suitable for precise spectral analysis, we reckon that this should not be an issue given the low energy resolution of the spectra we are analysing. The H-Ni pre-calculated grid is only available for $\log g = 9$, so we use this effective gravity for the H-Ca as well. While a reasonable agreement between data and model is obtained from bbody or pure H, neither of the two other NLTE models are able to fit the data, as evidenced by the residuals, plotted on logarithm scale for clarity. Particularly, they notably underestimate the observed spectrum for energies beyond \sim 0.45–0.5 keV. It can also be noticed that they demand a much larger effective temperature - especially when compared to the pure H model - likely due to the absorption caused by elements heavier than helium. Merely to illustrate the models' sensitivity to the choice of elements and their abundances, we exhibit in Fig. 4 a few theoretical models

¹⁴Model series '003', http://astro.uni-tuebingen.de/~rauch/TMAF/flux_HHe CNONeMgSiS_gen.html.



Figure 5. Model spectra from bbody, pure H, and H–Ni best fits to data of ObsID 0506531501. Solid and dashed lines indicate absorbed and unabsorbed cases, respectively. Filled symbols are historical measurements for CAL 83 in different wavelengths. In increasing order of wavelength, sea green triangle (up) corresponds to data from *FUSE* (Schmidtke et al. 2004); red triangle (down) from *HST* (Gänsicke et al. 1998); grey diamond from *IUE* (Crampton et al. 1987); coloured circles (UBV) from Curtis Schmidt telescope (Massey 2002); and magenta rectangle from OGLE-III (Rajoelimanana et al. 2013). Unfilled symbols are these same measurements corrected for reddening with $A_V = 0.43$ mag. Energy range of analysis (0.2–1.0 keV) is highlighted in grey.

computed for $T = 100 \,\text{kK}$ (temperature most commonly available amongst the models). Besides previously mentioned models, bbody, pure H, and H-Ca (with halo abundances), we also show some other NLTE (from those publicly available) computed for different abundances: 'pure He', a pure helium atmosphere model; 'H-Ca', this time with solar abundances; and 'He + CNO', a model with abundance ratios seen in some PG1159-type objects (He:C:N:O = 33:50:2:15, e.g. Werner & Herwig 2006). In addition, we plot a fine wavelength sampled model we calculated with the TLUSTY code for an NLTE line-blanketed atmosphere, (Hubeny & Lanz 1995, 2011) aiming to show the impact of metal abundances on extremeor far-UV blanketing at this temperature. This model, labelled as 'H, He, CNO', was calculated with abundance ratios provided by a compilation of median abundances measured in 25 Galactic novae (H:He:C:N:O = 44:34:5:9:8, private communication). For all these models, $\log g = 7$ was assumed.

The analysis was expanded by gathering from the literature measurements of CAL 83 at different wavelengths in order to evaluate how they relate to the fluxes derived from the X-ray spectral fitting. These measurements are presented in Fig. 5, along with the model spectra from the bbody, pure H, and H-Ni fits to data of Obsid 0506531501. We opted to show these two atmosphere models as they represent two extreme cases regarding metallicity and bestfitting temperature. As one would notice, the models applied to the X-ray data were extrapolated to longer wavelengths; this was done by simply extending the energy range of the comparison (as far as each model grid allowed) after the fit in X-rays is obtained, i.e. maintaining the best-fitting parameters. Absorbed (i.e. $N_{\rm H}$ with best-fitting values) and unabsorbed (i.e. $N_{\rm H}$ values set to zero) cases of each model are plotted in solid and dashed lines, respectively. The infrared/optical/UV data points (filled symbols) used to build this spectral energy distribution (SED) are referenced in the figure's caption. Also shown (unfilled symbols) are these fluxes corrected for optical/UV extinction (A_V) , computed through the relation $N_{\rm H}/A_{\rm V} = 2.87 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2} \,{\rm mag}^{-1}$ (Foight et al. 2016) and using the extinction law from Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis



Figure 6. Simultaneous flux measurements for different OM filters (four first panels) and pn (bottom panel). OM data were taken from *XMM*-SUSS catalogues; X-ray fluxes were calculated from an absorbed blackbody fit to the spectra. Vertical red dashed lines indicate the occasions when CAL 83 was not detectable in X-rays.

(1989). The estimated $N_{\rm H}$ from the bbody fit taking into account Galactic and LMC absorption, $N_{\rm H} = 1.25 \times 10^{21} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$, corresponds to $A_{\rm V} = 0.43$ mag. We see that – although compatible with each other in the X-ray analysis range (region highlighted in grey) – the fluxes derived from the three models applied to the X-ray spectra differ greatly when extrapolated to longer wavelengths, being the flux computed from the phenomenological blackbody the most consistent with CAL 83 historical measurements.

Aiming to probe on the correlation of the X-ray variability of CAL 83 regarding longer wavelengths, we browsed the XMM-Newton Serendipitous Ultraviolet Source Survey (XMM-SUSS; e.g. Page et al. 2012) for the optical/UV flux measurements from the observations analysed in our study. The XMM-SUSS catalogues¹⁵ comprise data from the XMM-Newton Optical Monitor (OM: Mason et al. 2001), a telescope that is co-aligned with the X-ray cameras and thus enables strictly simultaneous X-ray and optical/UV data examination. Fig. 6 presents fluxes versus date for the 14 observations (ObsIDs 0506530201 to 0506531601, see Table 1) in which OM measurements were available in a greater number of passband filters. First four panels show OM data for different filters (effective wavelengths are indicated) and the bottom panel shows the X-ray absorbed flux (0.2-1.0 keV), computed after the bbody model fits to each pn spectrum. The two occasions when CAL 83 was not detectable in Xrays during the contemplated period are indicated (vertical red dashed lines through all panels); missing data points from OM panels (in regard to X-ray data points) simply mean that the current filter was not used during the observation. These simultaneous measurements show that there is clearly an anti-correlation between the X-ray and UV/optical emission of the source on a time-scale of days to weeks. It is worth pointing out that the amplitude in X-rays varies by a factor

```
<sup>15</sup>https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/om-catalogue.
```



Figure 7. Light curves for ObsID 0506531701. *Upper panel*: OM's UVM2 filter ($\lambda_{eff} = 2310$ Å). *Bottom panel*: EPIC-pn (0.2–1.0 keV). The time binning for both is 60 s.

up to 20, while for longer wavelengths this factor is not much larger than 2.

In an attempt to verify if such anti-correlated behaviour is perceptible on the time-scale of a single observation or less, we turn to ObsID 0506531701, the lengthiest uninterrupted XMM-Newton exposure of CAL 83. We show in Fig. 7 the simultaneous net count rate for this observation from OM's UVM2 filter ($\lambda_{eff} = 2310 \text{ Å}$, upper panel) and EPIC-pn (0.2-1.0 keV, bottom panel). As no rigorous timing analysis was intended for the OM data, we used the background-subtracted light curves that are readily available for download at the XMM-Newton Science Archive. There were eight continuous exposures taken in OM's fast mode with filter UVM2, each lasting for approximately 4.4 ks, with gaps (due to overheads) of about 300 s between them. The longer gap, of \sim 2000 s (seen at Time ≈ 22500 s), is likely due to a ground station handover.¹⁶ The X-ray light curve was extracted as explained in Section 2. Data points from pn were clipped to match the start and end times of that available from OM exposures; both light curves are shown with time bins of 60 s. There are three interesting features to pay attention to in these simultaneous light curves: a slight ascending trend in the X-ray counts (until Time ≈ 17 ks) that occurs concomitantly with a slight descending trend in the UV counts; a few excursions, somewhat similar to flickering events (e.g. at Time \approx 5.5, 21, and 27 ks), which are present in both curves and seem to be anticorrelated; and a rise in UV that occurs almost simultaneously with the beginning of the X-ray decline towards the dip (at Time ≈ 18 ks). Unfortunately, the further increase in pn counts and decrease in UV coincides with a gap in OM data due to the ground station handover.

At last, we computed the Lomb–Scargle periodograms for all 18 observations' light curves (0.2–1.0 keV, with the 5 s binning) and found that only in five of them the prominent peak previously reported around 65–72 s surpassed the 90 per cent true alarm probability (e.g. Scargle 1982). They are ObsIDs 0500860301, 0500860501, 0500860601, 0506531501, and 0506531701, with modulations at 67.5 ± 0.3 , 72.7 ± 0.2 , 67.7 ± 0.1 , 66.9 ± 0.3 , and 65.17 ± 0.04 s, respectively. These values are in agreement with those reported in Odendaal et al. (2014) and Odendaal & Meintjes (2017) for the same observations. It is worth mentioning that virtually the same periods

and significance values were found when the search was performed for light curves extracted in the 0.2–0.4 keV range. Conversely, the signal could not be detected for the complementary (0.4–1.0 keV) band, which may be simply associated with the poor photon statistics in this particular range and not necessarily with an energy-dependent modulation. For instance, when comparing the phase-folded light curves for the 0.2–0.4 and 0.4–1.0 keV bands, we find that the modulation amplitudes are consistent to a factor of \sim 2, although with shapes less defined in the latter case. Moreover, with better signal-to-noise ratio light curves from *NICER*, Orio et al. (2022) reported similar amplitude modulations of the \sim 67 s signal in both bands.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Modelling the X-ray low-resolution spectra of CAL 83

A rigorous fit to Chandra and XMM-Newton high-resolution spectra $(65-20 \text{ Å}; \sim 0.2-0.6 \text{ keV})$ of CAL 83 was performed by Lanz et al. (2005) with a sophisticated NLTE atmosphere model specifically designed for the object. A fine match between their model (which is not public) and the emission and absorption features of CAL 83, which are discernible in the grating data, was obtained with bestfitting parameters of $T = 550 \,\text{kK}$ and $\log g = 8.5$. Due to the low energy resolution of EPIC-pn camera, compared to the grating instruments, such absorption and emission features are unresolved in the spectra we analysed, and the X-ray continuum - i.e. the spectrum overall shape - of CAL 83 is found to be well described by a blackbody. A reasonable fit could be achieved by a phenomenological blackbody model for 17 out of 18 spectra; the exception was the one extracted from the observation whose length is almost half the orbital period (see e.g. Fig. 7), a span long enough that, given the source's variability, produces a composite spectrum likely not well defined by a single temperature. The effective temperatures provided (\sim 30 eV \approx 350 kK) are consistent with those reported by Rajoelimanana et al. (2013), for the same data, and lie within the broad range of values previously reported for CAL 83 (~20-50 eV).

A pure H model, the only one amongst the publicly available NLTE models that was able to fit the data, demands much lower temperatures ($\sim 8 \text{ eV} \approx 95 \text{ kK}$) to describe the level of the spectra. The application of this model can be seen as exploratory, given that Crampton et al. (1987) had already reported a hydrogen-poor composition from optical/UV spectroscopy of CAL 83. Moreover, with the temperatures and unabsorbed fluxes obtained from our fits to the X-ray spectra (Fig. 2), and assuming a distance of 50 kpc to the LMC (e.g. Pietrzyński et al. 2019), we would find radii of roughly 0.01–0.05 R_o for the bbody and up to 1–2 R_o for the pure H model. The value from the blackbody already surpasses the radius of low-mass WDs (although an inflated photosphere scenario could be considered), but the value derived from the pure H model is just completely unrealistic.

On the other hand, none of the three publicly available NLTE grid models that include heavier elements, He + CNO, H-Ca, and H-Ni, could provide a reasonable fit to the CAL 83 X-ray data we analysed. The He + CNO grid has a maximum limit temperature of 190 kK. When a fit is attempted, those hottest models are still nowhere near describing the spectrum. The other two models (H-Ca and H-Ni), whose grids comprise temperatures up to 1000 kK, had better performances but still failed to properly describe the data, particularly beyond 0.45–0.5 keV. For the H-Ca model, one may choose between two abundance ratios: solar and that of the Galactic halo. In both cases, the spectrum

¹⁶https://xmm-tools.cosmos.esa.int/external/xmm_user_support/documenta tion/uhb/ommodes.html.

of CAL 83 for energies above $\sim 0.5 \text{ keV}$ is underestimated. The one with halo abundances, that provided a better - but still far from good - fit, was displayed in Fig. 3. The H-Ni model contemplates additional elements and is available for a few abundance ratios, but was intended for a specific object other than CAL 83. The best possible fit for this grid was selected to be presented in Fig. 3. The inconsistency between this model and data begins at an even lower energy (~0.45 keV) and also grows much larger, reaching a data to model ratio of a factor 100. Similar issue in fitting the more energetic part of CAL 83 X-ray lowresolution spectra with atmosphere models has been previously reported. One of the first attempts was carried out by Parmar et al. (1998) with data (0.1-0.8 keV) from BeppoSAX. The applied NLTE model provided acceptable fits for both solar and 0.25 solar metallicities. The authors found, however, that the fit quality was actually worse than a blackbody, mainly because the atmosphere model underestimated the spectrum at energies $\gtrsim 0.4$ keV. The effective temperatures obtained were about 350-400 kK for a few values of gravity between $\log g = 8-9$. More recently, Orio et al. (2022) attempted to fit NICER spectra (0.2-1 keV) of CAL 83 with the H-Ca model. The authors, who opted for the halo abundance ratio, found that the model underpredicted the source's emission above 0.5 keV. Best-fitting temperature was ~500 kK for $\log g = 9.$

As illustrated in Fig. 4, the choice of abundance ratio highly modifies the output continuum and hence the uniqueness of a particular SSS certainly demands that models distinctively targeted to describe it are built (as done, e.g. by Lanz et al. 2005). For that, accurate composition knowledge would be very useful and spectroscopic observations at longer wavelengths (e.g. optical and infrared), something that has not happened in a while for CAL 83, should be encouraged.

4.2 The spectral energy distribution

Although the historical flux measurements displayed in Fig. 5 were taken in different epochs and CAL 83 is known to vary in these wavelengths, such variability hardly exceeded a factor 2-2.5 (see references for each, and also Fig. 6), which is just slightly larger than the size of the symbols that represent such measurements in the plot. As for the X-ray energy distributions plotted, they come from fitting the XMM-Newton/pn observation which had the highest flux, meaning that for none of the other observations analysed the bbody model best-fitting extrapolation exceeded the observed flux in longer wavelengths. In the same way, the flux extrapolations shown for the pure H and the H-Ni models are also the ceiling levels in our sampling. The $N_{\rm H}$ values certainly play an important role when estimating unabsorbed fluxes, and different levels in longer wavelengths could be achieved by varying this parameter from the best-fitting values. We remind, none the less, that the extrapolations were computed from each model's output parameters that best fitted the X-ray data (0.2-1.0 keV). That said, although able to approximately match the observed flux in the X-ray energy range, the SEDs of the enhanced metal (H-Ni) and the pure H models largely underestimate and overestimate, respectively, CAL 83 measurements in longer wavelengths.

By looking at the SED of less absorbed SSSs on multiwavelength scales, one may discriminate between models with good agreement to X-ray data alone. On the other hand, the low-energy SED is prone to contributions from different sources in the binary system. The simple 360 kK blackbody model for the soft X-ray emission



Figure 8. 'Softness', defined as the ratio of the mean count rate in bands 0.2–0.35 and 0.35–1.0 keV, versus the mean total count rate in the whole band (0.2–1.0 keV). Values were calculated from each observation's light curve extracted in the respective energy bands. Horizontal bars are the count rate standard deviation. Colour codification is as in Fig. 1.

presented in Fig. 5 roughly describes the SED level observed in the UV, with an increasing deficit towards the optical and near-IR. Such an observed flux above the blackbody model may be understood as the central source actual emission from a hot atmosphere and/or the power-law contribution from an accretion disc – a larger source with lower temperatures and log g.

4.3 X-ray and optical/UV anti-correlated variability

We are aware that the values provided by a simple blackbody model fit may not represent realistic physical parameters, but the variation between them, seen from several different epochs, is certainly useful in obtaining valuable information about the evolution of the source. For instance, we find that there is a mild positive correlation between the X-ray fluxes observed from CAL 83 and the effective temperatures derived from spectral analysis (e.g. Pearson's coefficient ~0.6). That, together with the known fact that X-ray and longer wavelengths' emissions are anti-correlated in CAL 83, hints that the system's X-ray source cools as other emitting components of the system become brighter. This had already been shown and discussed by Rajoelimanana et al. (2013) for the same set of X-ray data we analyse here and almost-concurrent infrared measurements of CAL 83 from OGLE-III.

To our knowledge, Fig. 6 presents the most simultaneous optical, UV, and X-ray flux measurements ever reported for CAL 83. It makes it explicit that the emission in X-rays is, observed on a time-scale of days, straightaway anti-correlated with that in both optical and UV.

At a first glance, the amplitude of the X-ray and optical/UV anticorrelated variations may suggest an origin related to absorption by gas within the primary Roche lobe or in a circumbinary ejecta, with the amplitude in X-rays being much larger (by almost a factor of 10) than in the UV or optical. However, the hardness ratio in soft X-rays does not corroborate such a hypothesis, as first pointed out by Orio et al. (2022). They reported, from analysing six *NICER* observations of CAL 83, that the emission becomes softer as the source is fainter (see their table 2). We adopted their 'softness ratio' definition and calculated for each of the observations analysed here the ratio of the mean count rates (from extracted light curves) in a softer (0.2– 0.35 keV) and in a less soft band (0.35–1.0 keV) to build Fig. 8. The numerous 'softness' measurements shown, taken at several epochs, are in fact not consistent with photoelectric absorption and would thus require an explanation consistent with the observed X-rays– optical/UV anti-correlation.

Different scenarios to explain the optical pattern variability (and, at some point, the corresponding X-ray anti-correlated emission) have been proposed, most based on the WD's photosphere expansion/contraction model (Southwell et al. 1996), being the 'trigger' for the photospheric radius adjustments -e.g. changes in the mass accretion rate or in the burning rate (Greiner & Di Stefano 2002) one of the main questions. Other scenarios, such as accretion disc instability or thermonuclear runaways without mass ejection (e.g. Yaron et al. 2005), which have provided appropriate description of the behaviour of some SSSs (e.g. Hillman et al. 2019), are also possibilities. It should be noted, though, that no expressive outburst of CAL 83 has been observed; long-term light curves in optical and infrared (e.g. fig. 1 of Rajoelimanana et al. 2013) show that the emission in these wavelengths is certainly variable, on a time-scale of weeks to months - however, with an amplitude that does not exceed \sim 1.5 mag. Our analysis does not allow to explore these scenarios and thus draw conclusions on the origin of the high and low optical states emission observed. Observations in various multiwavelengths along a state transition, in tandem with an accretion disc tomography analysis, may help elucidate these hypotheses.

On much shorter time-scales, such as those presented in Fig. 7, one may see anti-correlated flickering events in simultaneous X-ray and UV data, suggesting that this effect occurs over a broad time-frequency range, although not necessarily sharing a common origin.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have retrieved publicly available data from the *XMM–Newton* satellite to revisit and discuss on relevant aspects of the SSS CAL 83. After carefully and systematically reducing the X-ray data by performing a series of tasks to guarantee that the output spectra were reliable for analysis, blackbody and publicly available atmosphere models were applied in an attempt to describe the object's soft X-ray spectral shape. Historical flux measurements of CAL 83 in other wavelengths were used to build an extended SED, allowing a straightforward comparison of such measurements with the fluxes derived from the X-ray modelling. Additionally, optical/UV measurements from the *XMM–Newton* itself – and thus simultaneous with the X-ray data – were used to assess the source's anti-correlated variability in X-rays with respect to these other wavelengths. The main findings obtained from these three approaches are summarized below.

(i) The X-ray spectral shape of CAL 83 is well described by a blackbody model with temperatures of about 320–370 kK. A pure hydrogen atmosphere model also fits the data, although providing much lower effective temperatures (80–105 kK). Other publicly available models, which contemplate heavier elements, demand higher temperatures (\gtrsim 420 kK) and do not properly reproduce the spectra we analysed. The main reason, we assert, is that as these precalculated grids were intended for other sources, they carry specific abundance ratios, ranges of log *g*, and effective temperatures that may not precisely represent the parameters of CAL 83.

(ii) Although not to be taken as the nominal temperature value of the source, due to the simplicity and phenomenology of the model, there is a very good agreement between the SED of an \sim 360 kK blackbody and measurements of CAL 83 previously reported in UV, optical, and near infrared.

(iii) CAL 83 exhibits, on a time-scale of days to weeks, an anticorrelated behaviour in X-rays with respect to optical/UV, the former with a much larger variation amplitude. When analysing the hardness ratios of each observation, one can see that the X-ray emission becomes harder as the source becomes fainter. Such finding contradicts a scenario of variable absorption. The source also shows an X-ray–UV anti-correlated tendency/variations on a time-scale of minutes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

PES acknowledges Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for financial support under PCI/INPE grant #300320/2022-1. MPD thanks support from CNPq under grant #305033. The authors thank an anonymous referee for her/his helpful comments.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Alcock C. et al., 1997, MNRAS, 286, 483
- Arnaud K. A., 1996, in Jacoby G. H., Barnes J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 17
- Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Cowley A. P., Schmidtke P. C., Crampton D., Hutchings J. B., 1990, ApJ, 350, 288
- Crampton D., Cowley A. P., Hutchings J. B., Schmidtke P. C., Thompson I. B., Liebert J., 1987, ApJ, 321, 745
- Dennerl K. et al., 2004, in Hasinger G., Turner M. J. L., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 5488, UV and Gamma-ray Space Telescope Systems. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 61
- Diaz M. P., Williams R. E., Luna G. J., Moraes M., Takeda L., 2010, AJ, 140, 1860
- Di Stefano R., Kong A. K. H., 2003, ApJ, 592, 884
- Foight D. R., Güver T., Özel F., Slane P. O., 2016, ApJ, 826, 66
- Galiullin I., Gilfanov M., 2021, A&A, 646, A85
- Gänsicke B. T., van Teeseling A., Beuermann K., de Martino D., 1998, A&A, 333, 163
- Greiner J., 2000, New Astron., 5, 137
- Greiner J., Di Stefano R., 2002, A&A, 387, 944
- Greiner J., Hasinger G., Kahabka P., 1991, A&A, 246, L17
- Hachisu I., Kato M., 2003, ApJ, 590, 445
- Hachisu I., Kato M., 2006, ApJS, 167, 59
- Henze M. et al., 2010, Astron. Nachr., 331, 193
- Hillman Y., Orio M., Prialnik D., Shara M., Bezák P., Dobrotka A., 2019, ApJ, 879, L5
- Hubeny I., Lanz T., 1995, APJ, 439, 875
- Hubeny I., Lanz T., 2011, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1109.022
- Ivanova N., Taam R. E., 2004, ApJ, 601, 1058
- Jansen F. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L1
- Jethwa P., Saxton R., Guainazzi M., Rodriguez-Pascual P., Stuhlinger M., 2015, A&A, 581, A104
- Kahabka P., 1997, in Wickramasinghe D. T., Bicknell G. V., Ferrario L., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 121, IAU Colloq. 163: Accretion Phenomena and Related Outflows. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 730

Kahabka P., 1998, A&A, 331, 328

Kahabka P., Trumper J., 1996, in van Paradijs J., van den Heuvel E. P. J., Kuulkers E., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 165, Compact Stars in Binaries. Kluwer, Dordrecht, p. 425

- Kahabka P., van den Heuvel E. P. J., 1997, ARA&A, 35, 69
- Lanz T., Telis G. A., Audard M., Paerels F., Rasmussen A. P., Hubeny I., 2005, ApJ, 619, 517
- Long K. S., Helfand D. J., Grabelsky D. A., 1981, ApJ, 248, 925
- Mason K. O. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L36
- Massey P., 2002, ApJS, 141, 81
- McGowan K. E., Charles P. A., Blustin A. J., Livio M., O'Donoghue D., Heathcote B., 2005, MNRAS, 364, 462
- Nomoto K., Saio H., Kato M., Hachisu I., 2007, ApJ, 663, 1269
- Odendaal A., Meintjes P. J., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 2797
- Odendaal A., Meintjes P. J., Charles P. A., Rajoelimanana A. F., 2014, MNRAS, 437, 2948
- Orio M., Nelson T., Bianchini A., Di Mille F., Harbeck D., 2010, ApJ, 717, 739
- Orio M. et al., 2018, ApJ, 862, 164
- Orio M. et al., 2022, ApJ, 932, 45
- Page M. J. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 903
- Pakull M. W., Motch C., Bianchi L., Thomas H.-C., Guibert J., Beaulieu J. P., Grison P., Schaeidt S., 1993, A&A, 278, L39
- Parmar A. N., Kahabka P., Hartmann H. W., Heise J., Taylor B. G., 1998, A&A, 332, 199
- Pietrzyński G. et al., 2019, Nature, 567, 200
- Pietsch W., Ehle M., Haberl F., Misanovic Z., Trinchieri G., 2003, Astron. Nachr., 324, 85
- Podsiadlowski P., 2010, Astron. Nachr., 331, 218
- Popham R., di Stefano R., 1996, in Greiner J., ed., Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 472, Supersoft X-Ray Sources. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 65
- Rajoelimanana A. F., Charles P. A., Meintjes P. J., Odendaal A., Udalski A., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 2886
- Rappaport S., Di Stefano R., Smith J. D., 1994, ApJ, 426, 692
- Rauch T., 2003, A&A, 403, 709

- Rauch T., Deetjen J. L., 2003, in Hubeny I., Mihalas D., Werner K., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 288, Stellar Atmosphere Modeling. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 103
- Rauch T., Ringat E., Werner K., 2010a, preprint (arXiv:1011.3628)
- Rauch T., Orio M., Gonzales-Riestra R., Nelson T., Still M., Werner K., Wilms J., 2010b, ApJ, 717, 363
- Rolleston W. R. J., Trundle C., Dufton P. L., 2002, A&A, 396, 53
- Scargle J. D., 1982, ApJ, 263, 835
- Schmidtke P. C., Cowley A. P., 2006, AJ, 131, 600
- Schmidtke P. C., Cowley A. P., Hutchings J. B., Winter K., Crampton D., 2004, AJ, 127, 469
- Smale A. P. et al., 1988, MNRAS, 233, 51
- Southwell K. A., Livio M., Charles P. A., O'Donoghue D., Sutherland W. J., 1996, ApJ, 470, 1065
- Steiner J. E., Diaz M. P., 1998, PASP, 110, 276
- Strüder L. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L18
- Sturm R., Haberl F., Pietsch W., Coe M. J., Mereghetti S., La Palombara N., Owen R. A., Udalski A., 2012, A&A, 537, A76
- Trümper J. et al., 1991, Nature, 349, 579
- Turner M. J. L. et al., 2001, A&A, 365, L27
- van den Heuvel E. P. J., Bhattacharya D., Nomoto K., Rappaport S. A., 1992, A&A, 262, 97
- Verner D. A., Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Yakovlev D. G., 1996, ApJ, 465, 487
- Werner K., Dreizler S., 1999, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 109, 65
- Werner K., Herwig F., 2006, PASP, 118, 183
- Wilms J., Allen A., McCray R., 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
- Wolf W. M., Bildsten L., Brooks J., Paxton B., 2013, ApJ, 777, 136
- Yaron O., Prialnik D., Shara M. M., Kovetz A., 2005, ApJ, 623, 398

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.